

# MOMENT MAP EQUATIONS IN GAUGE THEORY AND COMPLEX GEOMETRY

## Lecture 1

### Canonical metrics on bundles and stability

Oscar García-Prada  
ICMAT-CSIC, Madrid

Cargèse, 25 June 2024

- Let  $M$  be a **smooth manifold** and  $E \rightarrow M$  be a **smooth complex vector bundle**. A **connection** on  $E$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$D : \Omega^0(M, E) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(M, E)$$

satisfying

$$D(fs) = df \otimes s + fDs \quad \text{for } s \in \Omega^0(M, E) \text{ and } f \in \Omega^0(M)$$

- This can be extended to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$D : \Omega^i(M, E) \longrightarrow \Omega^{i+1}(M, E)$$

satisfying

$$D(\alpha s) = d\alpha \otimes s + (-1)^i \alpha Ds \quad \text{for } s \in \Omega^0(M, E) \text{ and } \alpha \in \Omega^i(M).$$

- The **curvature** of  $D$  is defined as

$$F_D = D^2 \in \Omega^2(\text{End } E).$$

Hence, the curvature is the **obstruction** for  $D$  to define a complex  $\Omega^0(M, E) \xrightarrow{D} \Omega^1(M, E) \xrightarrow{D} \Omega^2(M, E) \xrightarrow{D} \dots$

- A **Hermitian metric**  $h$  on  $E$  is a smooth assignment of a Hermitian product to each fibre of  $E$ .
- A connection  $D$  on  $E$  is said to be **unitary** (or **Hermitian**) if

$$dh(s, t) = h(Ds, t) + h(s, Dt) \text{ for } s, t \in \Omega^0(M, E).$$

- If  $D$  is a **unitary connection**, then

$$F_D \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}(E, h)),$$

where  $\text{End}(E, h)$  is the **bundle of skew-Hermitian endomorphisms** of  $(E, h)$ .

- Let  $M$  be now a **complex manifold**.
- We will look now at **holomorphic vector bundles** from the point of view of  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators.
- Let  $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow M$  be a smooth complex vector bundle. A  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator (or **Dolbeault operator**) on  $\mathbb{E}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$\bar{\partial}_E : \Omega^0(M, \mathbb{E}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(M, \mathbb{E}),$$

which satisfies

$$\bar{\partial}_E(fs) = \bar{\partial}fs + f\bar{\partial}_E s, \text{ for } f \in \Omega^0(M) \text{ and } s \in \Omega^0(M, \mathbb{E}).$$

This can be extended to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$\bar{\partial}_E : \Omega^{0,i}(M, \mathbb{E}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,i+1}(M, \mathbb{E})$$

satisfying

$$\bar{\partial}_E(\alpha s) = \bar{\partial}\alpha \otimes s + (-1)^i \alpha \bar{\partial}_E s \text{ for } s \in \Omega^0(M, \mathbb{E}) \text{ and } \alpha \in \Omega^{0,i}(M).$$

- A **holomorphic structure** on a smooth complex vector bundle  $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow M$  is a  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator  $\bar{\partial}_E$  on  $\mathbb{E}$  such that

$$\bar{\partial}_E^2 = 0 \quad (\text{integrability})$$

## Theorem

The pair  $E = (\mathbb{E}, \bar{\partial}_E)$  with  $\bar{\partial}_E^2 = 0$  is equivalent to a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  (in the usual sense of having holomorphic transition functions).

- Of course, if  $M$  is a Riemann surface,  $\Omega^{0,2}(M) = 0$ , and hence the condition  $\bar{\partial}_E^2 = 0$  is always satisfied.
- Let  $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow M$  be a smooth complex vector bundle. Any connection  $D$  on  $\mathbb{E}$  defines a  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator by the rule

$$\bar{\partial}_E = D^{0,1}.$$

We use here that  $\Omega^1(M, \mathbb{E}) = \Omega^{1,0}(M, \mathbb{E}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(M, \mathbb{E})$ .

- A  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator may come from many connections. However, one has the following important result.

## Chern correspondence

Let  $h$  be a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then, there is a **one-to-one** correspondence between  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators on  $\mathbb{E}$  and unitary connections on  $(\mathbb{E}, h)$ .

- In particular, if  $E \rightarrow M$  is a holomorphic vector bundle equipped with a Hermitian metric  $h$ , there is a unique  $h$ -unitary connection  $D$  compatible with the holomorphic structure, meaning that  $\bar{\partial}_E = D^{0,1}$ . This is called the **Chern connection** of  $(E, h)$ , and its curvature will be denoted by  $F_h = D^2$ .

# Holomorphic vector bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Let  $X$  be a **compact Riemann surface**, and let  $E$  be a **holomorphic vector bundle** over  $X$ .
- Let  $h$  be a **Hermitian metric** on  $E$ ,  $D$  be the **Chern connection** and  $F_h = D^2$  be its **curvature**.
- A natural condition to ask is that  $D$  be **flat**:

$$F_h = 0.$$

- From **Chern–Weil theory**, the first Chern class  $c_1(E)$  is represented by

$$c_1(E, h) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr}(F_h)$$

and hence  $F_h = 0 \implies c_1(E) = 0$ .

- If  $c_1(E) \neq 0$ , then the closest to flatness that we can have is that the curvature be central. To formulate this condition, fix a metric metric on  $X$ , with Kähler form  $\omega$  normalized such that  $\operatorname{vol}(X) = \int_X \omega = 2\pi$ .

## Central curvature equation

We say that  $D$  has **central curvature** if

$$F_h = -i\mu I_E \omega, \quad (1)$$

where  $I_E$  is the identity endomorphism of  $E$  and  $\mu$  is a real constant.

- Taking traces in (1) and integrating, after defining the **degree** of  $E$  as

$$\deg(E) := \int_X c_1(E, h) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \text{Tr}(F_h),$$

we have that

$$\mu = \mu(E) = \deg E / \text{rank } E,$$

where  $\mu(E)$  is called the **slope** of  $E$ .



# Holomorphic vector bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Want to study **conditions for existence of solutions** to (1).
- The first case to consider is  $E = L$  a **line bundle**. In this situation a Hermitian metric on  $L$  can be written as  $h = e^u$ , where  $u$  is a **real function**, and

## Exercise 1.

$$(1) \iff \Delta u = f, \quad \text{Laplace/Poisson equation}$$

where  $f$  is a real function such that  $\int_X f = 0$ .

- In the line bundle case hence (1) can always be solved. The situation is very different in higher rank.
- A holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  is said to be **stable** if for every proper holomorphic subbundle  $F \subset E$

$$\mu(F) < \mu(E).$$

This concept was introduced by **Mumford** and arises from **Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT)**.

# Holomorphic vector bundles over Riemann surfaces

- In fact, stability can also be deduced from the differential geometry:

**Exercise 2.** Let  $E$  be an indecomposable holomorphic vector bundle over  $X$ . Then

- **Hint:** existence of  $h$  satisfying (1)  $\implies$  stability of  $E$ .  
Let  $F \subset E$  be a holomorphic subbundle, and let  $Q = E/F$ . These fit in an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0.$$

A Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $E$  defines a smooth splitting  $E \cong F \oplus Q$ , with respect to which

$$\bar{\partial}_E = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_F & \beta \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\bar{\partial}_F$  and  $\bar{\partial}_Q$  are the corresponding  $\bar{\partial}$  operators on  $F$  and  $Q$ , respectively, and  $\beta \in \Omega^{0,1}(X, \text{Hom}(Q, F))$ .

# Holomorphic vector bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Another concept which is relevant here is that of polystability. The vector bundle  $E$  is **polystable** if  $E = \bigoplus E_i$ , where  $E_i$  is stable and  $\mu(E_i) = \mu(E)$ .
- We have that if  $E$  is a holomorphic vector bundle over  $X$  (not necessarily indecomposable)

**existence** of  $h$  satisfying (1)  $\implies$  **polystability** of  $E$ .

In fact the converse is also true.

## Theorem (Narasimhan–Seshadri, 1965)

A holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  admits a Hermitian metric with central curvature if and only if it is polystable.

- **Remark.** This is a reformulation of the theorem of Narasimhan–Seshadri due to **Atiyah–Bott** (1982), of which a proof was given by **Donaldson** (1982).

# Moduli spaces of connections and holomorphic structures

- Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a smooth complex vector bundle over a compact Riemann surface  $X$  (equipped with a Kähler form  $\omega$  as above) and  $h$  be a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{E}$ .
- Consider the following sets

$$\mathcal{D} := \{\text{connections } D \text{ on } \mathbb{E}\},$$

$$\mathcal{A} := \{\text{unitary connections } d_A \text{ on } (\mathbb{E}, h)\} \subset \mathcal{D},$$

$$\mathcal{C} := \{\text{holomorphic structures } \bar{\partial}_E \text{ on } \mathbb{E}\}.$$

And the **gauge groups**

$$\mathcal{G}^c := \{\text{bundle automorphisms of } \mathbb{E}\},$$

$$\mathcal{G} := \{\text{bundle automorphisms of } \mathbb{E} \text{ preserving } h\} \subset \mathcal{G}^c,$$

- $\mathcal{G}^c$  acts on  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  respectively by the rule

$$g \cdot D = gDg^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad g \cdot \bar{\partial}_E = g\bar{\partial}_E g^{-1} \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{G}^c, D \in \mathcal{D}, \bar{\partial}_E \in \mathcal{C}.$$

- The action of  $\mathcal{G}^c$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  restricts to an action of the unitary gauge group  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}^c$  on the set of unitary connections  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$ .
- Consider the set of **central curvature unitary connections** on  $(\mathbb{E}, h)$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_0 := \{d_A \in \mathcal{A} \ : \ F_A := d_A^2 = -i\mu h_{\mathbb{E}\omega}\}$$

- Since  $F_{g \cdot D} = gF_Dg^{-1}$ , the set  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and we can consider the **moduli space of central curvature unitary connections** on  $(\mathbb{E}, h)$

$$\mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{G}.$$

- Consider now

$$\mathcal{C}^{PS} := \{\bar{\partial}_E \in \mathcal{C} : E = (\mathbb{E}, \bar{\partial}_E) \text{ is polystable}\}.$$

The set  $\mathcal{C}^{PS}$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{G}^C$  and we can consider the **moduli space of holomorphic structures** supported by  $\mathbb{E}$

$$\mathcal{C}^{PS} / \mathcal{G}^C.$$

We can now reformulate the theorem of Narasimhan–Seshadri as follows.

**Theorem (Narasimhan–Seshadri, 1965; Donaldson, 1982)**

The Chern correspondence  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  induces a **bijection**

$$\mathcal{A}_0 / \mathcal{G} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{PS} / \mathcal{G}^C.$$

Under this bijection, **stable** holomorphic structures are in correspondence with **irreducible** connections.

# Higgs bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Let  $X$  be a **compact Riemann surface** and  $K$  be its **canonical line bundle**.
- A **Higgs bundle** over  $X$  is a pair consisting of a holomorphic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$ , together with a sheaf homomorphism (the **Higgs field**)  $\Phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ , i.e.

$$\Phi \in H^0(X, \text{End } E \otimes K).$$

- The Higgs bundle  $(E, \Phi)$  is said to be **stable** if

$$\mu(F) < \mu(E)$$

for every proper subbundle  $F \subset E$  such that  $\Phi(F) \subset F \otimes K$ .

- The Higgs bundle  $(E, \Phi)$  is **polystable** if  $(E, \Phi) = \bigoplus (E_i, \Phi_i)$ , where  $(E_i, \Phi_i)$  is stable and  $\mu(E_i) = \mu(E)$ .

## Hitchin equation

Let  $(E, \Phi)$  be a Higgs bundle over  $X$ , equipped with a Kähler form  $\omega$  as above. A natural condition to ask for a Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $E$  is that

$$F_h + [\Phi, \Phi^*] = -i\mu|_E\omega, \quad (2)$$

- Here  $[\Phi, \Phi^*] = \Phi\Phi^* + \Phi^*\Phi$  is the usual extension of the Lie bracket to Lie-algebra valued forms. Since  $\text{Tr}[\Phi, \Phi^*] = 0$ , as in (1),  $\mu = \mu(E)$ .
- **Exercise 3.** Let  $(E, \Phi)$  be a Higgs bundle over  $X$ . Then **existence** of  $h$  satisfying (2)  $\implies$  **polystability** of  $(E, \Phi)$ .

## Theorem (Hitchin, 1987; Simpson, 1988)

A Higgs bundle  $(E, \Phi)$  admits a Hermitian metric satisfying the Hitchin equation if and only if it is polystable.



# Moduli spaces of Higgs bundles over Riemann surfaces

- To describe the moduli space of Higgs bundles in **differential-geometric** terms, let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a smooth complex vector bundle over  $X$ , and consider the **set of pairs**

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(\bar{\partial}_E, \Phi) \in \mathcal{C} \times \Omega^{1,0}(X, \text{End } \mathbb{E}) : \bar{\partial}_E \Phi = 0\}.$$

- The gauge group  $\mathcal{G}^c$  acts on  $\Omega^{1,0}(X, \text{End } \mathbb{E})$  by

$$g \cdot \Phi = g\Phi g^{-1} \quad \text{where } g \in \mathcal{G}^c \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \in \Omega^{1,0}(X, \text{End } \mathbb{E}),$$

This, combined with the action on  $\mathcal{C}$ , gives an action on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

- Consider

$$\mathcal{H}^{ps} = \{(\bar{\partial}_E, \Phi) \in \mathcal{H} : (E, \Phi) \text{ is polystable}\},$$

We define the **moduli space of Higgs bundle structures** supported by  $\mathbb{E}$  as

$$\mathcal{H}^{ps} / \mathcal{G}^c.$$

# Moduli spaces of Higgs bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Let  $h$  be a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{E}$ , and let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A} \times \Omega^{1,0}(X, \text{End } \mathbb{E})$ .
- **Hitchin's equations** can be regarded as the system of equations for a pair  $(d_A, \Phi) \in \mathcal{X}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_A + [\Phi, \Phi^*] &= -i\mu I_{\mathbb{E}}\omega \\ \bar{\partial}_A \Phi &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where  $\bar{\partial}_A = d_A^{0,1}$  is the holomorphic structure defined by  $d_A$

- The set  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \{(d_A, \Phi) \in \mathcal{X} \text{ satisfying (3)}\}$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and the **moduli space of solutions to Hitchin's equations** is defined as  $\mathcal{X}_0/\mathcal{G}$ .

Theorem (Hitchin, 1987; Simpson, 1988)

The correspondence  $\mathcal{A} \times \Omega^{1,0}(X, \text{End } \mathbb{E}) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \Omega^{1,0}(X, \text{End } \mathbb{E})$  induces a **bijection**

$$\mathcal{X}_0/\mathcal{G} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\text{PS}}/\mathcal{G}^c.$$

# Some references

- M.F. Atiyah and R. Bott, The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* **308** (1982), 523–615.
- S. K. Donaldson, A new proof a theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri, *J. Diff. Geom.* **18**, (1982), 269–278.
- N.J. Hitchin, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 59–126.
- S. Kobayashi, *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1987.
- M.S. Narasimhan and C.S. Seshadri, Stable and unitary bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Ann. of Math.* **82** (1965), 540–564.
- C.T. Simpson, Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang–Mills theory and applications to uniformization, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1988), 867–918.
- R.O. Wells, *Differential Analysis on Complex Manifolds*, Springer, GTM 65, 3rd edition, 2007 (with an appendix by O. García-Prada).